

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,336 02/12/2002		02/12/2002	Naoya Yamazaki	FUJX 19.423	5703
26304	7590	06/17/2003			
KATTEN	MUCHIN	ZAVIS ROSEN	EXAMINER		
575 MADI NEW YOR		NUE 022-2585		CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2835	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>†</i>
Advisory Action	10/074,336	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averal final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	n ın
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2 \(The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$ is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: amended claims require further consideration and/or search since there are new limitations to the claims.

BORIS CHERVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

2